



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,953	08/22/2003	Vipin Samar	OR03-10201	8253
51067	7590	02/05/2008	EXAMINER	
ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION			LEE, WILSON	
c/o PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP				
2820 FIFTH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DAVIS, CA 95618-7759			2163	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/645,953	SAMAR, VIPIN
	Examiner Wilson Lee	Art Unit 2163

-- *The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address* --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 June 2007.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-6,8-14,16-22 and 24 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s) 1-6,8-14,16-22 and 24 is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 9-14, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention includes non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 9, the cited computer readable medium, as submitted in the original disclosure, contains computer instruction signals, carrier wave, transmission medium. Transmission mediums such as signals and carrier waves represent physical characteristics of a form of energy that do not fall within any of the categories of patentable subject matter set forth in 35 U.S.C. 101. Because forms of transmission mediums such as signals and carrier waves do not fall within any of the categories of patentable subject matter set forth in 35 U.S.C. 101, thus the claims are rejected. Also see *Diehr*, 450 U.S. at 185-86, 209 USPQ at 8.

In order to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection to claims 9-14, 16, the applicant positively disavow, on the record, the embodiments of the inventions that include a computer readable medium wherein the computer readable medium is a transmission medium (with or without a carrier wave upon which the signals are modulated).

Claims 10-14, 16 are rejected because they contain or inherit the deficiencies of claim 9.

Claims 17-22, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a test of whether the invention is categorized as a process, machine, manufacture or composition or matter and if the invention produces a useful, concrete and tangible result. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) are found to be non-statutory subject matter. In this case, the claimed invention shown in Claims 17-22, 24 fails to fall within any one of the above patentable categories set forth in 35 U.S.C. 101.

As described in the claim 17, the mechanisms for apparatus according to the specification and drawings are seemingly software components. It is merely functional descriptive material and is nonstatutory.

Claims 18-22, 24 are rejected because they contain or inherit the deficiencies of claim 17.

In order to expedite the prosecution, 9-14, 16, 17-22, 24 are interpreted as an invention directed a process.

Claim Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting

directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 4, 5, 6, 9, 12, 13, 14, 17, 20, 21, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fauble et al. (US 2003/0159054).

Regarding Claims 1, 9, 17, Fauble discloses a method for protecting an item of private information ("...conduct a secure business transactions...", lines 2-3, paragraph 0088) in a database wherein the method comprises:

receiving the item of private information ("...entering a checking account number, a social security number...through the reconfigurable secure keyboard console..."), wherein the item of private information is used as a key¹ for retrieving data from the database ("...processor 60 may then retrieve a transformed code...", paragraph 0052; "...retrieve the encryption key from first memory section 54", lines 1-5, paragraph 0053);

creating a hash ("create an encrypted hashed message", paragraph 0013, lines 8-12, and stored in "lookup table", line 1-3, paragraph 0050) of the item of private information at a database, wherein creating the hash further comprises checking a column attributes² for a column³ ("identifies the location of the depressed key(s) in terms of a row and a column...", lines 6-8, paragraph 0051) which stores the item of private

¹ "key" is interpreted as the index of the data. Index is an inherent feature in all data inputs. In paragraphs 0052, 0053, Fauble teaches that the processor can retrieve the matched data from the lookup table. The inherent feature "index" or so called "key" is used to retrieve the matched data.

² "Column attributes" are inherent features in Fauble. In paragraph 0088, Fauble teaches checking account number, social security number, bank routing number. As seen, they show that there are three different types of categories of the private information in which these categories are the column attributes.

³ Fauble identifies the location of the depressed key(s) in terms of a row and a column which includes the limitation "a column".

information⁴ ("a plurality of values... actual input device inputs⁵", lines 15-18, paragraph 0051), in the database to determine that "privacy" is enabled for the column, and only upon privacy being enabled for the column⁶ (paragraph 0095) creating the hash, and wherein the hash is a one-way hash ("a one way hash function will allow less data to be generated", paragraph 0012, lines 5-6); and

storing the hash of the item of private information in the database ("...storing an encryption key..... the encryption key may be a hash value.", paragraph 0041).

Regarding Claims 4, 12, 20, Fauble discloses that processing a query containing the private information involves:

receiving the item of private information ("...entering a checking account number, a social security number...", lines 9-10, paragraph 0088);

creating a hash of the item of private information ("create an encrypted hashed message", paragraph 0013, lines 8-12; "...storing an encryption key..... the encryption key may be a hash value.", paragraph 0041), and

querying⁷ the database ("first memory section 54 may be utilized for storing an encryption key", "first memory section 54 may be written to or read by only the RSID processor", "encryption key may be a hash value", paragraph 0041) using the hash of the item of the private information.

⁴ The private information is transformed into "values".

⁵ "Actual input device inputs" also refers to the private information such as social security number, checking account number because those numbers entered from the keystroke.

⁶ In paragraph 0095, when the RSID receives encryption key, the RSID processor 60 stores the transformed codes along with the plurality of values in a transformed lookup table. The "encryption key" enables the so called "privacy" and thereupon enables the processor to store the transformed value in a lookup table (hashed message encrypted in a table) which comprises rows and columns.

⁷ "querying" interpreted as request to the database for storing, writing, reading.

Regarding Claims 5, 13, 21, Fauble discloses that the item of private information can include social security number. (paragraph 0088, lines 9-10).

Regarding Claims 6, 14, 22, Fauble discloses that the multiple items of private information can be combined⁸ ("a checking account number, social security number, a bank routing number and the payment amount", lines 9-10, paragraph 0088) prior to creating the hash⁹.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 10, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fauble et al. (US 2003/0159054) in view of the term dictionary in Javvin.

Regarding Claims 2, 10, 18, as discussed above, Fauble essentially discloses the claimed invention but does not explicitly disclose creating the hash can include creating either Secure Hash Algorithm-1 (SHA-1) or Message-Digest algorithm 5 (MD5) hash. However, Javvin dictionary teaches that Message-Digest algorithm 5 (MD5) (designed in 1991) is a popular algorithm in security application and to check the

⁸ "combined" is interpreted as a situation when user enters the checking account number, social security number, a bank routing number and etc as a one input.

⁹ "prior to the hash" is interpreted as before the values become hashed message (paragraph 0013) and entered to the table. Therefore, in Fauble, the private information has been combined or entered before they are stored in the table.

integrity of files. And Javvin also discloses that SHA-1 is recommended by cryptographers to overcome some flaws in MD5. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention has been made to use either MD5 or SHA-1 in Fauble in order to provide additional security purpose since both MD5 and SHA-1 are widely used and known to a skilled in the art.

Claims 3, 11, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fauble et al. (US 2003/0159054) in view of Trostle (5,919,257).

Regarding Claims 3, 11, 19, Fauble essentially discloses the claimed invention but does not explicitly disclose the hash of the item in a manner that is transparent to an application. However, Trostle discloses a networked workstation for detecting intrusion to provide a more secure user friendly technique. Trostle teaches an advantage of transparency such as to detect illicit changes to the executable files resident on the workstation (Col. 3, lines 23-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Trostle's transparency method in Fauble in order to attain the advantage of detecting illicit changes on the workstation.

Claims 8, 16, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fauble et al. (US 2003/0159054) in view of the term dictionary in Microsoft Press.

Regarding Claims 8, 16, 24, as discussed above, Fauble essentially discloses the claimed invention but does not explicitly disclose that the database is a Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP) database. However, Microsoft Press dictionary discloses that LDAP gives users a single tool to comb through data to find a particular piece of information. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention has been made to configure LDAP in Fauble's database in order to find the particular information by a single tool for a quicker process.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, 8-14, 16-22, 24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

RedHat dictionary teaches that LDAP supports Secure Sockets Layer and Transport Layer Security, sensitive data can be protected from prying eyes

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Wilson Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-1824.

Papers related to the application may be submitted by facsimile transmission. Any transmission not to be considered an official response must be clearly marked "DRAFT". The official fax number is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you

Application/Control Number:
10/645,953
Art Unit: 2163

Page 9

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Wilson Lee
Primary Examiner
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office

2/1/08